

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

ROBIN MESEY and JENNIFER MESEY,	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	
vs.	)	Case No. 1:19-CV-71 SNLJ
	)	
CITY OF VAN BUREN, MISSOURI, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

**PLAINTIFFS' MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF  
MOTION FOR TAXATION OF COSTS OF SERVICE TO BE ASSESSED AGAINST  
DEFENDANT CHARLES ROPER AND FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES**

COMES NOW Plaintiffs Robin Mesey and Jennifer Mesey (collectively the "Plaintiffs"), by and through their attorney, and for their Motion for Taxation of Costs of Service to be Assessed Against Defendant Charles Roper ("Defendant Roper") and for Attorney's Fees and respectfully states to this Honorable Court the following:

**MEMORANDUM**

Rule 4(d)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provides that:

"If a defendant located within the United States fails, without good cause, to sign and return a waiver requested by a plaintiff located within the United States, the court **must** impose on the defendant:

- (A) the expenses later incurred in making service; and
- (B) the reasonable expenses, including attorney's fees, of any motion required to collect those service expenses."

Fed.R.Civ.P. 4(d)(2). (*emphasis added*).

Ordering Defendants to pay service costs under Rule 4(d)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure before final judgment in this case is not premature. See Double "S" Truck Line, Inc. v. Frozen Food Express, 171 F.R.D. 251 (D. Minn. 1997); Marcello v. Maine, 238 F.R.D. 113 (D.

Me. 2006); Butler v. Crosby, 2005 WL 390740 (M.D. Fla. 2005). The courts in these cases noted particularly that the Rule, “makes abundantly clear that a defendant’s duty to avoid unnecessary costs of service is not related to the merits of the underlying case and, therefore, there is no cause to delay an award of costs even when . . . the Plaintiff’s claim [may be] without merit.” Double “S”, 171 F.R.D. at 253; Marcello, 238 F.R.D. at 116.

Defendants simply failed to return signed waivers, which forced Plaintiff to request alias summons, have the Defendants personally served and needlessly increased the service costs in this case, despite the warnings set forth in the Notice of Lawsuit and Request to Waive Service of a Summons (*See* Doc. #1-5).

WHEREFORE, for the foregoing reasons, and those stated in Plaintiffs’ Motion, Plaintiffs respectfully request this Honorable Court to grant their Motion for Taxation of Costs of Service to be Assessed Against Defendant Charles Roper and for Attorney’s Fees and for such other relief this Court deems just and proper under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

SCHOTTEL & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

BY: s/James W. Schottel, Jr.

James W. Schottel, Jr. #51285MO  
906 Olive St., PH  
St. Louis, MO 63101  
(314) 421-0350  
(314) 421-4060 facsimile  
jwsj@schotteljustice.com

Attorney for Plaintiffs  
Robin Mesey  
Jennifer Mesey

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on August 24, 2019, the foregoing was filed electronically with the Clerk of Court to be served by operation of the Court's electronic filing system upon the following:

Patricia A. Keck  
pat@kpwlawfirm.com

Attorney for Defendants  
City of Van Buren, Missouri  
Alonzo Bradwell

Portia C. Kayser  
pkayser@fisherpatterson.com

Attorney for Defendant  
Charles Roper

s/James W. Schottel, Jr.